Evolution on a shaky piece of Gondwana: is local endemism recent in New Caledonia?
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Abstract

New Caledonia is well known as a hot spot of biodiversity whose origin as a land mass can be traced back to the Gondwanan supercontinent. The local flora and fauna, in addition to being remarkably rich and endemic, comprise many supposedly relictual groups. Does the New Caledonian biota date back to Gondwanan times, building up its richness and endemism over 100 Myr or does it result from recent diversifications after Tertiary geological catastrophic events? Here we use a molecular phylogenetic approach to answer this question with the study of the Neocaldeonian cockroach genus Angustonicus belonging to the subfamily Tryonicinae from Australia and New Caledonia. Both geological and molecular dating show that the diversification of this group is less than two million years old, whatever the date of its origin itself. This dating is not consistent with hypotheses of Gondwanan richness and endemism in New Caledonian biota. In other terms, local richness and endemism at the specific level are not necessarily related to an old Gondwanan origin of the Neocaldeonian groups.
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culminate between two deep oceanic basins (minimum depth of 2000 m). Therefore, in spite of sea level variations, it has been totally isolated from Australia, Vanuatu Islands, and even from the small volcanic Loyalty or Lord Howe Islands which appeared, respectively, 2 Myr and 7–8 Myr ago on other ridges. Indeed, even the partial connection of New Caledonia with New Zealand, which has sometimes been assumed through a series of volcanic islands located along the Norfolk ridge, remained speculative since the Norfolk ridge was mostly too deep to have emerged (Paris, 1981).

In this context, the local origin of biodiversity, with such high richness and endemism can be questioned, as has already been done for New Zealand (Cooper and Cooper, 1995; Trewick, 2000; Pole, 2001). Does it result from the local and progressive diversification of ancient lineages since Gondwanan times or has it been shaped by recent diversifications and/or possible long-distance dispersals (e.g., Swenson et al., 2001)? This question has not been answered for New Caledonia using modern phylogenetic methodology, although this island is an ideal site to distinguish between paleoendemics and neoendemics. What we do know is that the New Caledonia has been totally isolated and large since long ago, its climate has remained stable and tropical, and it has experienced major geological changes.

The present study is aimed at answering the question of the age of New Caledonian local endemism at a specific level using a molecular phylogenetic study of a clade of insects belonging to the dictyopteran subfamily Tryonicinae, distributed both in Australia and in New Caledonia, specifically the cockroach genus Angustonicus Grandcolas (1997). This study utilized representatives from New Caledonia itself and from neighboring recent reefal islands. Both the biogeography and the molecular data should allow us to date the origin of the cladogenesis in this group and its subsequent diversification.

Materials and methods

Collection of samples

Nine of the 10 known species of the New Caledonian genus Angustonicus (Grandcolas, 1997; Pellens, 2004) were used in this study. The remaining species is known only from an old type specimen not available for DNA extraction and sequencing. Two other Tryonicinae (Lauraesilpha maeretoi and Rothisilpha najtae) were added as outgroups. Vouchers for all specimens were deposited at the Museum national d’Histoire naturelle (MNHN) in Paris, and form part of the typical series mentioned in Grandcolas (1997) and in Pellens (2004). Many forest locations were visited, some of which harbored Angustonicus species (Appendix 1). New Zealand and New Guinea faunas are presently not known to comprise any Tryonicinae cockroaches (Johns, 1966; Grandcolas, 1997).

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Partial sequences of two mitochondrial ribosomal genes, 12S and 16S rRNA, equivalent to some already reported (Kambhampati, 1996; Grandcolas, 2002) were sequenced. Genomic DNA was extracted from the leg musculature of dried specimens. Tissues were homogenized in 100 μL of buffer (10 mm Tris-HCl pH 9, 0.1 EDTA, 1% SDS and proteinase k 0.2 mg/mL) with a sterile plastic pestle. The homogenate was incubated for 4 h at 55 °C, and the DNA was precipitated with a phenol–chloroform method, the genomic DNA was then resuspended in TE buffer (pH 8). A polymerase chain reaction was carried out in a thermal cycler (MJ Research Inc.) using EcoTaq polymerase (Ecogen). PCR conditions were: 94 °C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 51 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 1.5 min, and finally an extension period of 72 °C for 5 min. The amplified product was electrophoresed on a 1.2% agarose gel. PCR-amplified DNA fragments were cloned into a pSTBlue vector (Novagen). the Clones were sequenced on both strands in an ABI (Perkin Elmer) automated fluorescence sequencing system.

Published primers (Kambhampati, 1995; Kambhampati, 1996) were used to amplify a fragment of 12S rRNA (~430 bp) and 16S rRNA (~410 bp). The sequences have been deposited in the EMBL Nucleotide Sequence Database under accession numbers AJ308735, AJ308734 and AJ870989 to AJ871007 for 12S and 16S. A. pouebo has been successfully sequenced only for 16S. Analyses have been carried out with or without this species to check that the lack of 12S data do not change the results.

Phylogenetic analysis

Because the sequence length varies among species in ribosomal genes, alignment can remain ambiguous. In that perspective, Direct Optimization (Wheeler, 1996) implemented in the computer program POY (Wheeler, et al. 1996–2003) was used to analyze the data. For the parsimony analysis, 1000 replicates were conducted using random addition sequences and submitted to TBR branch swapping and ratcheting (Nixon, 1999). The influence of indel cost was studied in a sensitivity analysis framework (Wheeler, 1995). The ratio of weights between indels and substitutions ranged from 0.5 to 4, resulting in four parameter sets (indel transversion transition): 122, 111 (equal weighting), 211 and 411. We considered the tree whose parameter set maximized the congruence among the partitions, corresponding to the lowest ILD metrics (Mickevich and Farris, 1981). This method provides an objective criterion for choosing among the parameter sets. Bremer support (Bremer, 1988) and
Symmetric Resampling frequencies (Goloboff et al., 2003) were calculated, as well as Geometric mean length (Grandcolas et al., 2004) for Acctran branch optimizations. Symmetric Resampling frequencies were calculated with TNT (Goloboff et al., 2004).

**Dating**

To explore the rate of diversification within the genus *Angustonicus* in New Caledonia, we compared estimates from two methods. Paleogeographic criteria (dates of emergence of neighboring reefal islands harboring *Angustonicus* species) were employed because the geology of the New Caledonian region has been extensively studied (Dubois et al., 1974; Paris, 1981). We also used the rates of molecular divergence with reference to the classical nucleotide substitution rates found in the literature for mitochondrial genes (Brower, 1994; Sperling, 1997). This second method, as with all molecular clocks, is obviously speculative and we only intend to check how much its results are consistent with the first one. Uncorrected pairwise sequence divergences have been calculated using PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2003). The phylogenetic sensitivity analysis permitted us to check whether the dates remained stable with different sequence alignments.

**Results**

As a whole, the genus *Angustonicus* is widely distributed across New Caledonia, even if it was not found in some large mountains or forested areas (e.g., Mont Panié, Massif de l’Aoupinié, Fig. 1). *Angustonicus* species proved to be short range endemics, since all species were captured in very localized sites (contrast Fig. 1 and Appendix 1).

The tree shown (Fig. 1) results from the optimal parameter set 211 (the best congruence among data partitions, $ILD_{211} = 0.00209$), obtained by parsimony analysis under direct optimization (479 steps, $CI = 0.47$; $RI = 0.85$; $RGML = 59\% = 15/25.2$). In the monophyletic genus *Angustonicus*, the clade formed by two species from the Loyalty Islands is a sister-group of the remainder of the clade comprising only species from the main New Caledonia island (“Grande Terre”). The species of Grande Terre are related to each other along a geographic cline, from the more basal Northern species, to the more apical Southern ones. Using the parameter set 111 (the second best congruence among data partitions) did not change the main topology, but only altered the relationships of *A. boucheti* and *A. koghensis* which were found to be sister groups.

Substitution rates for the 12S and 16S ribosomal genes (Brower, 1994; Sperling, 1997) gave a range of dates from 1.32 to 3.97 Myr for the node supporting the sister group relationships between Loyalty Islands and Grande Terre. The node between *A. yate* and *A. pinorum* has a range of 1.28–0.43 Myr (Fig. 1). Paleogeographic data (Dubois et al., 1974; Paris, 1981) provided a maximum age of 2 Myr for any node concerning species from reefal islands. These dates appear very congruent from paleogeographic or molecular data, and derived

![Cladogram of the genus *Angustonicus* in New Caledonia mainland and adjacent islands (Loyalty Islands at the East, and Île des Pins in the South), based on direct optimization analyses of portions of 12S and 16S mitochondrial genes (parameter set: 211, 479 steps, $CI = 0.47$; $RI = 0.85$; $RGML = 59\% = 15/25.2$). The numbers on the branches are Symmetric Resampling frequencies (above) and Bremer index (below) values. Basal diversification of the clade in New Caledonia mainland is not older than 2 Myr, according to paleogeographic (central number) and molecular datings (grey range with marginal values). Dispersal to Île des Pins is dated around 1 Myr.](image-url)
from a topology insensitive to alignment parameters and supported by high Bremer and Symmetric Resampling values.

**Discussion**

The main purpose of this work was to understand whether the specific endemism and richness of the New Caledonian biota could be necessarily dated back to Gondwanan times. This widely held hypothesis is believed to explain the species richness and biodiversity in this hotspot: the New Caledonian species would then be the result of local and progressive diversification from direct descendants of Gondwanan organisms, in spite of the major Tertiary geological disturbances occurring on this island, Paleocene submersion, and Eocene ophiolitic obduction (Paris, 1981; Brothers and Lillic, 1988; Cluzel et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2001). This assertion is considered especially likely in the literature when the organisms under consideration are endemic and belong to the so-called Gondwanan groups restricted to Austral territories.

Such an assertion of old endemism and richness is not corroborated by our study on the diversification of the genus *Angustonicus*. This cockroach genus is endemic to New Caledonia and belongs to a Gondwanian-distributed group of insects (Grandcolas, 1997). However, its diversification (not its origin) in New Caledonia cannot be dated to earlier than the emergence of the Loyalty Islands where the basal members of the clade occur, i.e. no earlier than 2 Myr (Dubois et al., 1974; Paris, 1981; Cabioch, 2003). This convincing paleogeographic date is in agreement with results obtained by the molecular clock approach. Both methods provide a clear and unambiguous date for the New Caledonian diversification as a recent process, occurring not before the end of Pliocene.

This highly supported scenario also implies that the genus has diversified in the Loyalty Islands by dispersal from the New Caledonia mainland, since all other members of the subfamily Tryonicinae are only found on the New Caledonia mainland or Australia. Indeed, *Angustonicus lifou* and *A. mare* are the only Tryonicinae species in the Loyalty Islands. From strong geological and bathymetric data, including isotopic dating (Dubois et al., 1974; Paris, 1981; Cabioch, 2003), it is clear that the New Caledonian and Loyalty Islands were isolated from each other, even during important Pliocene sea level variations. Dispersal in this case is unambiguously indicated by both phylogenetic and geological data and is not an ad hoc hypothesis brought forward to propose a biogeographically conventional story.

In addition, the phylogeny of the genus *Angustonicus* in New Caledonia shows a clinal trend among mainland taxa, with basal species in the northern part of the mainland and more apical ones in the southern part and Île des Pins (Fig. 1). Such clinal patterns in New Caledonia have sometimes been hypothesized to be caused by a progressive recolonization of the mainland with ultramafic substrate after the Eocene south-eastern ophiolitic obduction (Paris, 1981; Morat et al., 1986). This geological hypothesis invoking Eocene events (50 Myr) is completely at odds with our recent dating for *Angustonicus* diversification (2 Myr). The clinal diversification could rather be related to the orogenesis and the peneplanation, which are very recent and asymmetrical events in the New Caledonia mainland (Paris, 1981), as for similar endemism in New Zealand (Trewick et al., 2000; Chinn and Gemmell, 2004).

This clinal trend also includes the species *A. pinorum* from the Île des Pins, the very apical position of which suggests that this trend resulted from colonization and “progression” southward. The Île des Pins has undergone the same and contemporaneous uplift as Loyalty Islands and some south-eastern parts of the mainland 2 Myr ago (Dubois et al., 1974; Paris, 1981; Cabioch, 2003). However, according to the phylogeny, it has necessarily been colonized later. Therefore, the whole set of events concerning the *Angustonicus* diversification could have been: first colonizing the Loyalty Islands a maximum of 2 Myr ago from the New Caledonian mainland, then progressing southward on the mainland, and colonizing the Île des Pins as a final step.

Since the diversification occurred very recently, one can consider that the geographic ranges of the distribution of the species have not changed from the time of speciation to the present day (Losos and Glor, 2003). According to the ranges of species areas, only allopatric speciation took place, which corroborates the hypothesis of environmental causes for speciation instead of intrinsic causes.

Even if this group radiated very recently, we cannot infer with certainty from the present study if the group was present on the island earlier or only recently. The recent diversification of *Angustonicus* within the Australian-Neocaledonian subfamily Tryonicinae is however, consistent with an autochthonous Gondwanan group submitted to Paleocene or Eocene bottlenecks during Tertiary submersion or obduction. One can also make the hypothesis that Tertiary catastrophic events have caused a total extinction in New Caledonia and that recolonization has occurred later, by dispersal. The hypothetical presence of temporary “stepping stone” islands on an oceanic ridge has been invoked to explain that type of situation (Lee et al., 2001), but we found no clear relevant geological evidence for them in the literature.

In conclusion, far from being a relict Gondwanan territory which has remained unchanged over 85 Myr, New Caledonia appears to be a shaky piece of Gondwana, with several Tertiary catastrophic periods...
involving possible mass extinctions and recent diversifications.
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Appendix 1

List of the study sites in New Caledonia and neighboring islands where *Angustonicus* spp. has been searched for (and found *).

- Adio (forest and caves) 21°32’S, 165°17’E
- Aoupinie (13 km S.W. Ponérihouen) 21°18’S, 165°25’E
- Bourail 21°57’S, 165°48’E
- *Col d’Amieu* (3 km NW) 21°61’S, 166°75’E
- Col d’Amieu 21°61’S, 166°75’E
- Col d’Amieu (Pic Vincent) 21°61’S, 166°75’E
- Galarino 20°29’46.4’’S, 164°45’25.3’’E
- Île des Pins, Baie d’Oro, 22°34’36.9’’S, 167°31’29.9’’E
- Île des Pins, Baie Waa Mè, 22°35’24.6’’S, 167°25’30.8’’E
- Lifou (Loyalty Island, Wedrumel) 20°98’S, 167°11’E
- *Lifou* (Loyalty Island, Cap des Pins) 21°03’S, 167°41’E
- *Maré* (Loyalty Island) 21°59’S, 167°87’E
- *Mé Arêto* (mountain close to Col d’Amieu), 21°36’14.8’’S, 165°46’26.6’’E
- Mont Do 21°73’S, 166°00’E
- *Monts Koghis* (basis) 22°16’S, 166°49’E
- Mont Mou (summit) 22°04’34.23’’S, 166°20’9.9’’E
- Mont Panié (basis) 20°33’16’’S, 164°47’36’’E
- Mont Panié (summit) 20°60’S, 167°75’E
- Ouen Toro (Nouméa) 22°32’S, 166°42’E
- Pindái (sclerophyllous forest) 21°34’S, 166°88’E
- Plateau de Dogny, 21°37’9.5’’S, 165°52’28.8’’E
- *Port Boisé* (Yaté, Gororo), 22°18’1.3’’S, 167°00’50.5’’E
- Port Boisé (Yaté, Wharf), 22°20’53.1’’S, 166°57’50.5’’E
- *Pouébo* 20°40’S, 164°57’E
- Poum 20°29’46.4’’S, 164°01’28.3’’E
- Rivière bleue (25 km N.E. Nouméa) 57’50.5’’E
- Sarrauméa 21°65’S, 165°85’E
- Table Unio (basis), 21°34’10.2’’S, 165°46’15’’E
- Table Unio (summit), 21°33’56.5’’S, 165°46’15’’E
- Vallée de la Thi 22°22’S, 166°51’E
- Yaté 22°15’S, 166°90’E